

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

August 31, 1966

10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Absent: Councilman LaRue

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Dudley Fowler, Assistant City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Councilman LaRue absent as he was out of the City.

Invocation was delivered by FATHER EDWARD JORDAN, Chaplain, Holy Cross Hospital.

The Council received recommendations from the Consulting Engineers, Brown and Root for equipment on the Decker Creek Power Station, Unit I.

The City Manager read the recommendation from the Consulting Engineers on Contract No. X-128, Remote Burner Control, as follows:

"August 25, 1966

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.

City Manager

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas, 78767

"Remote Burner Controls

Contract No. X-128

Decker Creek Power Station

Unit No. One - Our Job CA-0003

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Brown & Root, Inc. has examined the bids opened by you at 10:00 A.M., August 25, 1966, in open Council Meeting for Decker Creek Power Station, Unit No. One, Remote Burner Controls, Contract No. X-128.

"Bids were submitted by Combustion Engineering, Inc., and Forney Engineering Company. The Bailey Meter Company was also invited to bid but failed to submit a proposal.

"A tabulation of the bids submitted follows:

Bidder	Bid Bond	Bidding Unit No. I Equipment	Bidding Unit No. II Per Diem	Price Escalation
Combustion Engineering Inc.	Yes	\$279,938	\$100	Firm
Forney Engineering Co.	Yes	\$164,627	\$100	20%

"The bids were evaluated as follows:

	Combustion	Forney
Bidding Unit No. I	\$279,938	\$164,627
Escalation	Base	32,925
Total	\$279,938	\$197,552

"Examination of the low bid proposal indicates there were not any exceptions taken to the specifications.

"Therefore, on the basis of lowest and best bid in complete accordance with the specifications, it is recommended that a contract be awarded to the Forney Engineering Company for Contract No. X-128, Remote Burner Controls, bidding Unit No. 1 for the maximum escalated amount of \$197,552.

"Should you have any question on our review please let us know.

"Yours very truly,
BROWN & ROOT, INC.
s/ D. V. Boyd
D. V. Boyd
Project Engineer

"APPROVED: s/ D. C. Kinney
D. C. Kinney, Director
Electric Utility
City of Austin, Texas"

The City Manager stated he and the Director of Electric Utilities concurred in the recommendation that FORNEY ENGINEERING COMPANY be awarded the contract as lowest and best bidder in accordance with the specifications. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the contract be awarded to FORNEY ENGINEERING COMPANY as the lowest and best bidder in complete accordance with the specifications, for the maximum escalated amount of \$197,552. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The City Manager read the recommendation of the Consulting Engineers, Brown and Root, on Contract No. X-126, Main Transformer, Unit I; Auxiliary Transformer, Unit II; Start-Up Transformer, Unit III, as follows:

"August 29, 1966

File: E-160-HOK

"Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.

City Manager

P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767

"POWER TRANSFORMERS

CONTRACT NO. X-126

DECKER CREEK POWER STATION

UNIT NUMBER ONE

OUR JOB CA-0003

"Dear Mr. Williams:

"Brown & Root, Inc. has examined the bids opened by you at 10:00 a.m., August 25, 1966, in open Council Meeting for Decker Creek Power Station, Unit Number One, Power Transformers, Contract Number X-126.

"Bids were submitted as follows:

BIDDING UNIT I - MAIN TRANSFORMER

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Bond</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Escalation</u>	<u>Exceptions to Specifications</u>
Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co.	Yes	\$421,200	Firm	Yes - See Proposal
Federal Pacific Electric Co.	_____	No Bid	_____	_____
General Electric Co.	Yes	\$453,200	Firm	Yes - See Proposal
Pennsylvania Transformer Divi- sion of McGraw Edison Co.	Yes	\$390,300	5%	Yes - See Proposal
Westinghouse Electric Corp.	Yes	\$406,559	Firm	None

BIDDING UNIT II - AUXILIARY TRANSFORMER

Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co.	Yes	\$ 36,300	Firm	Yes - See Proposal
Federal Pacific Electric Co.	Yes	\$ 33,050	10%	None

<u>"Bidder</u>	<u>Bid Bond</u>	<u>Bid Price</u>	<u>Escalation</u>	<u>Exceptions To Specifications</u>
General Electric Co.	Yes	\$ 35,280	Unknown	Yes - See Proposal
Pennsylvania Transformer Division of McGraw Edison Co.	Yes	\$ 35,650	5%	Yes - See Proposal
Westinghouse Electric Corp.	Yes	\$ 36,962	Firm	None

BIDDING UNIT III - START-UP TRANSFORMER

Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co.	Yes	\$ 65,500	Firm	Yes - See Proposal
Federal Pacific Electric Co.	Yes	\$ 74,100	10%	None
General Electric Co.	Yes	\$ 61,056	Unknown	Yes - See Proposal
Pennsylvania Transformer Division of McGraw Edison Co.	Yes	\$ 58,600	5%	Yes - See Proposal
Westinghouse Electric Corp.	Yes	\$ 65,793	Firm	None

"On the basis of the lowest and best bid after an evaluation in accordance with the specifications, copies of which are attached, it is recommended:

1. That a contract be awarded to the Westinghouse Electric Corporation for Contract No. X-126, Power Transformers, Bidding Unit No. 1, for the lump sum of \$406,559.00.
2. That a contract be awarded to the Westinghouse Electric Corporation for Contract No. X-126, Power Transformers, Bidding Unit No. 2, for the lump sum of \$36,962.00.
3. That a contract be awarded to the Pennsylvania Transformer Division of McGraw Edison Co. for Contract No. X-126, Power Transformers, Bidding Unit No. 3, for the maximum escalated amount of \$61,530.00.

"The only exception taken by Pennsylvania Transformer concerned drawing delivery time. Provision for the additional time stated in their exception was covered in the specifications.

"Should you have any questions on our review, please let us know.

"Yours very truly,
BROWN & ROOT, INC.
s/ H. O. Kirkland
H. O. Kirkland
Project Electrical Engineer

"Approved s/ D. C. Kinney
D. C. Kinney, Director
Electric Utility
City of Austin, Texas"

"AUXILIARY TRANSFORMER (ATI) BID EVALUATION - CONTRACT X-126 POWER TRANSFORMERS

	ALLIS-CHALMERS	FEDERAL PACIFIC	GENERAL ELECTRIC	PENNSYLVANIA	WESTINGHOUSE
BID PRICE	36,300.00	33,050.00	35,280.00	35,650.00	36,962.00
ESCALATION	Firm	10%	Unknown	5%	Firm
1) ESCALATED BID	36,300.00	36,355.00	*35,280.00	37,432.50	36,962.00
Full Load Loss	67KW	60KW	72.5KW	67.8KW	
F.L. Losses @ \$80.00/KW	5,360.00	4,800.00	5,800.00	5,424.00	4,280.00
2) Full Load Capacity	5,360.00	4,800.00	5,800.00	5,424.00	4,280.00
(Full Load Trans. Losses					
(5700 Hrs. @ \$0.01/KW	3,819.00	3,420.00	4,132.50	3,864.60	3,049.50
(Transf. Losses @ 50%					
(Load-2800 Hrs. @ \$0.00275/KWH 28.7KW	220.99	202.13	227.15	218.68	200.20
(Total - Annual Energy Cost	4,039.99	3,622.13	4,359.65	4,083.28	3,249.70
(Losses					
3) (Present Worth Energy	32,768.36	29,379.10	35,361.12	33,119.48	26,358.32
(10 Yrs. 4%					
(EVALUATED COST					
(Total of 1, 2, & 3	74,428.36	70,534.10	76,441.12	75,975.98	67,600.32

*Does Not
Include
Escalation

"START-UP TRANSFORMER (STI) BID EVALUATION - CONTRACT X-126 - POWER TRANSFORMERS CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

	ALLIS-CHALMERS	FEDERAL PACIFIC	GENERAL ELECTRIC	PENNSYLVANIA	WESTINGHOUSE
BID PRICE	65,500.00	74,100.00	61,056.00	58,600.00	65,793.00
ESCALATION					
1) ESCALATED BID	Firm	10%	Unknown	5%	Firm
Full Load Loss	80KW	77KW	75.6KW	65.6KW	72KW
F.L. Losses @ \$80.00/KW	6,400.00	6,160.00	6,048.00	5,248.00	5,760.00
2) Full Load Capacity Charge	6,400.00	6,160.00	6,048.00	5,248.00	5,760.00
(Full Load Transf. Losses					
(10 Hrs. @ \$0.00275/KWH	80KW	2.20	75.6KW	2.08	72KW
(Transf. Losses @ 75% Load				1.80	1.98
(40 Hrs. @ \$0.00275/KWH	53.7KW	5.91	52.6KW	5.28	48KW
(Transf. Losses @ 50% Load				5.28	5.28
(250 Hrs. @ \$0.00275/KWH	35KW	24.06	36.6KW	25.16	31KW
(Transf. Losses @ No-Load				24.06	21.31
(8200 Hrs. @ \$0.00275/KWH	20KW	451.00	23KW	518.65	18.5KW
(Total Annual Energy				547.97	417.18
(Cost - Losses	483.17	413.04	576.84	579.11	445.75
3) (Present Worth Energy					
(10 Yrs. 4%	3,918.99	3,350.17	4,678.75	4,697.16	3,615.48
(EVALUATED COST					
(TOTAL OF 1, 2 & 3	75,818.99	91,020.17	*71,782.75	71,475.16	75,168.48

*Does Not
Include
Escalation"

The City Manager stated both he and the Director of Electric Utilities concurred in the recommendation.

In accordance with the recommendations of the Consulting Engineers, the Director of Electric Utilities, and the City Manager, Councilman Shanks moved that on the basis of the lowest and best bid after an evaluation in accordance with the specifications, to award the contract for Unit I, Main Transformer, Contract X-126, to WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION for the lump sum of \$406,559.00. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

In accordance with the recommendations of the Consulting Engineers, the Director of Electric Utilities and the City Manager, Councilman Shanks moved that on the basis of the lowest and best bid after evaluation in accordance with the specifications, to award Contract X-126, Auxiliary Transformer, Unit II, to WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION for the lump sum of \$32,962.00. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

In accordance with the recommendations of the Consulting Engineers, the Director of Electric Utilities, and the City Manager, Councilman Shanks moved that on the basis of the lowest and best bid after evaluation in accordance with the specifications, to award Contract X-126, Start-up Transformer, Unit III to the PENNSYLVANIA TRANSFORMER DIVISION OF MCGRAW EDISON COMPANY as bid. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 32.15 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE JAMES P. WALLACE SURVEY NUMBER 57; IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE. (Lanier High School Tract and other land)

Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be published in accordance with Article 1, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin and set for public hearing on September 22, 1966 at 10:30 A.M. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The Council had before it consideration of construction of boat docks on Lake Austin. Councilman White had made a personal inspection of the areas and plans, noting there was no fill in connection with the construction, and recommended the approval.

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approve the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by Mr. HARRY SIMON as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as Lot B and South Half of Lot C in Aqua Verde Subdivision as described on the attached plot plan and hereby authorizes the said HARRY SIMON to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Official is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Harry Simon has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas
August 24, 1966

"Memorandum To: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager
Subject: RESOLUTION, BOAT DOCK (Private)

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Mr. Harry Simon, owner of the property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying upstream from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road and known as Lot B and South half of Lot C in Aqua Verde Subdivision as described on the attached plans recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock projecting out into the lake approximately twenty-four (24) feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if Mr. Simon is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions.

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be

nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling, or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which shall show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonable clean at all times.

"Respectfully submitted
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Dick T. Jordan
Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT the City Council of the City of Austin hereby approve the erection of a boat dock on the property owned by RAY G. SCHINDLER as described in the Travis County Deed Records and known as 3.89 acres of land out of the Wilkinson Sparks Survey in Travis County, Texas as described on the attached plot plan and hereby authorizes the said RAY G. SCHINDLER to construct, maintain and operate this boat dock subject to same being constructed in compliance with all the ordinances relating thereto and further subject to the foregoing attached recommendations; and the Building Official is hereby authorized to issue an occupancy permit for the erection of this boat dock after full compliance with all the provisions of this resolution. Said permission shall be held to be granted and accepted subject to all necessary, reasonable and proper, present and future regulations and ordinances of the City of Austin, Texas, in the enforcement of the proper police, fire and health regulations and the right of revocation is retained if, after hearing, it is found by the City Council that the said Ray G. Schindler has failed and refused and will continue to fail and refuse to perform any such conditions, regulations and ordinances.

(Recommendations attached)

"Austin, Texas
August 29, 1966

"Memorandum To: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager
Subject: RESOLUTION, BOAT DOCK (Private)

"I, the undersigned, have reviewed the plans and have considered the application of Ray G. Schindler, owner of the property abutting on that part of Lake Austin lying downstream in Bee Creek from the westerly extension of the south line of Windsor Road and known as 3.89 acres of land out of the Wilkinson Sparks Survey in Travis County, Texas as described on the attached plans recorded in the Travis County Deed Records, for permission to construct and maintain a boat dock and living quarters projecting out into the lake approximately thirty-six (36) feet beyond the normal high water level. The construction details meeting all requirements, I recommend that if Mr. Schindler is granted his request by the City Council, that it be subject to the following conditions.

"(1) That nothing but creosoted piles, cedar piles or concrete piles, substantially braced and bolted to withstand wind and water pressure, be used in the construction and that no structure shall extend more than one-third the distance from shore to shore at the point where structure is located, or be nearer than ten feet to any side property line of the owner or applicant.

"(2) That no business, such as a restaurant, dance hall, concession stand, or any other enterprise for the sale of goods, wares, and merchandise, except marine supplies and tackle, and no living quarters of any character, unless approved by the City Council, shall be erected on any pier, dock, wharf, float, island, piling, or other structure extending into or above Lake Austin. Due to the fact that living quarters are proposed over this pier it is further recommended that before construction begins that the sanitary disposal system be approved by the Health Department.

"(3) That every structure shall be equipped with proper lights which shall show all around the horizon for night use and shall be equipped with flags or other warnings for daylight use.

"(4) That all structures extending out into the lake be constantly kept in a state of good repair and that the premises be kept reasonably clean at all times.

"Respectfully submitted,
s/ Dick T. Jordan
Dick T. Jordan
Building Official"

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 39 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1954 AS FOLLOWS: TRACT 1 AND TRACT 2, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; AND TRACT 3, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C-1" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT; SAID THREE TRACTS OF LAND LOCALLY KNOWN AS 5105 DUVAL STREET; SAID PROPERTY BEING SITUATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

August 31, 1966

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"August 29, 1966

"Memorandum To: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager
Memorandum From: Victor R. Schmidt, Jr., Director, Water and Sewer Department
Subject: Bids on Water Pumps

"1. Bids were opened on August 19, 1966 for the purchase of a 5,000 gpm. pump for South Austin Booster Station and a 14,000 gpm. pump for North Austin Booster Station. These bids were evaluated on the formula presented to The City Council on July 21, 1966.

"2. As a result of evaluation we make the following recommendations.

1. Award the furnishing of the 5,000 gpm. pump to Smith-Bradshaw Co. for \$13,681.00. This Company submitted the low bid and evaluated low.
2. Award the furnishing of the 14,000 gpm. pump to F.M.C. Corporation for \$24,200.00. This bid evaluated low and was second low in the bidding.

s/ Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Victor R. Schmidt, Jr.
Director Water and Sewer Department"

SOUTH AUSTIN
5,000 G.P.M. PUMP

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>BID PRICE</u>	<u>EFF. (OVERALL)</u>	<u>EVALUATED BID PRICE</u>	<u>PUMP</u>
230 Days Worthington Corp.	\$14,146.00	81.0%	\$14,803.50	Worthington 10 LN 22 14" x 10"
245 Days De Laval (3)	\$18,400.00	80.65%	\$19,225.48	De Laval P 14/12 14" x 12"
24 Weeks Smith Bradshaw (1)	\$13,681.00	82.37%	\$13,681.00	Patterson (Wheeler Economy) 14" x 12"
160 Days F.M.C. Corp.	\$16,300.00	80.5%	\$17,413.44	Peerless Horizontal 14" x 12"
160 Days Deta Mach. (2)	\$14,290.00	81.0%	\$14,947.50	Fairbanks Morse 5823 14" x 12"

Parenthesis indicates evaluated order."

NORTH AUSTIN PUMP
14,000 G.P.M.

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>BID PRICE</u>	<u>EFF. (OVERALL)</u>	<u>EVALUATED BID PRICE</u>	<u>PUMP</u>
Southern Engine & Pump Co. (5)	\$32,656.00	00% x 1345.33 = 0 84.0%	\$32,656.00	Goulds 3420 DV. 24" x 20"
Worthington (2)	\$22,861.00	1345.33 x 2.30% = \$3,094.26 81.7%	\$25,955.26	Worthington 16 LN 23 20" x 16"
De Laval (3)	\$26,372.00	1345.33 x .23% = \$309.43 83.77%	\$26,681.43	De Laval P 20/16 20" x 16"
Smith- Bradshaw (4)	\$24,868.00	1345.33 x 2.31% = \$3,107.71 81.69%	\$27,975.71	Tait KSB 24" x 20"
F.M.C. Corporation (1)	\$24,200.00	1345.33 x .43% = \$578.49 83.57%	\$24,778.49	Peerless Horizontal 20" x 18"

Parenthesis indicates evaluated order."

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 19, 1966, for the purchase of one 5,000 gpm. pump for South Austin Booster Station; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Smith-Bradshaw Company, in the sum of \$13,681.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Smith-Bradshaw Company, in the sum of \$13,681.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with Smith-Bradshaw Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

After discussion of the evaluation, Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on August 19, 1966, for the purchase of one 14,000 gpm. pump for North Austin Booster Station; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of F.M.C. Corporation, in the sum of \$24,200.00, was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Sewer Department of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of F.M.C. Corporation, in the sum of \$24,200.00, be and the same is hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract, on behalf of the City, with F.M.C. Corporation.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

The Council had under consideration a hearing for amendment of the Master Plan for Planned Development Area--Interstate Highway 35 and Corral Lane. The Director of Planning pointed out the area on a map. Councilman White inquired as to the number of personnel that would be employed at this

plant. The Planning Director said this industry would have initially 300 employees and ultimately 1,800. Councilman Long moved that a hearing date be set for September 22, 1966, 2:30 P.M. to amend the Master Plan for Planned Development Area on Interstate Highway 35 and Corral Lane. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The Council had an appeal from FRED W. MITCHON from action of Planning Commission's denying resubdivision of part of Lot 4 of the Lewis Hancock Subdivision, based on the street width. MR. JULIAN THOMAS, representing Mr. Mitchon, stated there is a question of street widening. He said this area was an illegal subdivision; and when they filed for a resubdivision, the Commission denied approval because the right of way was not furnished. The owners wanted to put a 16 unit apartment house there, and taxes on such for one year would be more than it would cost to condemn and take the right of way. The Assistant City Attorney explained the Planning Commission's obligation under the State law was to approve a subdivision where it is in accord with the general plan for the development of the City, general plan for streets and easements, utilities, Master Plan, etc., and if it is in accordance with all the regulations of the City. He stated if the Council were of the opinion that the Master Plan and the general plans for the development of Austin call for Alice Avenue to be 60' wide, the matter should be referred back to the Planning Commission with that decision, and the property owner would be entitled to subdivide. The Director of Planning stated the Council's policy as adopted in 1960, and reinforced by the Master Plan in 1961, was that all commercial collector streets were to be 70' wide. Alice Avenue carries 6400 cars daily, and this material and information were presented to the Commission with the recommendation that Alice Avenue be 70' wide. Councilman Long stated since the Council had no plans presented to it for widening of Alice Avenue, that the Council recognize the 60' width. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved that the Council recognize the necessary width of Alice Avenue as being 60' at this time, and that the 60' width is in accord with the Master Plan and the plans for the general development of the City of Austin. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

Councilman Long stated the Council wanted to pass on formally to the City Manager the agreements that the Council majority made last week. The first item she had would need not to be discussed today as it would not change the budget, but was merely policy that could be considered on Councilman LaRue's return. She stated at the work session the Council held on Friday, August 26th, the majority of the Council had come up with some changes in the Budget and a request, (this does not mean the full Council was in full accord, but the majority was) it wanted to pass on to the City Manager today as they affect the Budget and he would want to have these recommendations so he could evaluate them and come up with some answer from his Budget.

1. The policy, the Council would discuss later.
2. The Council asks the City Manager to reappraise the place where

the 10 cent tax increase appears, where he might see that this might be shaved by some two or three cents in light of the indicated surplus in the payroll accounts in the City Budget which was \$666,000 gone over with Mr. LaRue's figures and with the Director of Finance.

3. That the Council recognize the differences in the Day and Night Courts that the salaries were too widely spread in that the jobs these two judges were doing were very nearly the same. The majority of the Council would want to maintain a Chief Justice or a Chief Judge, so it made only a \$500.00 differential between these two Judges' salaries, raising Judge Ivan Williams within \$500 of the salary of the other Judge.
4. That the Assistant City Clerk should be raised up nearer to what the City Clerk is drawing, and the Assistant City Clerk's salary was set at \$6100, and the \$7392 recommendation by the City Manager was accepted for the City Clerk.
5. The City Council agreed that it would increase the Police Department force by 15 policemen plus the equipment needed for them to operate.
6. A new department in the City was created, making the Inspection Division a Department of its own. That Department is headed by Dick Jordan at the present.
7. Because of the additional responsibilities and duties of the two superintendents in the new departments created for the Recreation and Parks that a study be made; and that it is hoped that these two people will be reclassified in the very near future.
8. That \$70,000 set up in the Capital Improvements Budget for the purchase of the Bergman area north of the Fiesta Gardens be removed and reallocated for Parks and Recreation.
9. That MR. BEVERLY SHEFFIELD'S salary should be increased to \$13,390.00 to give him additional status for taking over this huge department for which he will be Director.
10. That MISS RICE'S salary be increased to \$10,500 and the City Manager's salary be increased by 10%. (\$21,950.00)

The Mayor in reference to Item 8, stated it was his understanding that if this were to be a part of the park area, then the amount would remain. Councilman Long stated if it were for street purposes or parking area for Fiesta Gardens it was not to remain there. The City Manager pointed out that item was in this year's planned expenditures, would be bond money, and it was not in the proposed budget. Councilman Long stated this was a policy they were setting.

After discussion, Councilman Long moved that these be a part of the record of the Council's recommendation for the Budget. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

August 31, 1966

Councilman Shanks made the statement concerning his vote, that the vote was that these be filed as amendments, but not as voting on the amendments.

Councilman Long clarified Item 5, in that the 15 policemen are in addition to those recommended by the City Manager. Councilman White stated when the Council made a recommendation, the City Manager would go along with it.

The City Manager called attention to a letter from KLRN indicating they will probably come in for a request for permission to install a radio TV relay tower at the Spicewood Springs Reservoir. A copy of this letter had been sent to the Council with the Agenda. Councilman Long inquired of any objection from the people in the immediate neighborhood or from those on the hills who might have interference. It was explained this was a relay tower for microwave purposes. Their letter had stated after this matter was approved by the F.A.A. and Airport zoning, and others, they would bring the request to the Council. Councilman Long discussed the possibility of a public hearing, stating if the area were not developed yet, and that no one would be affected it would not be necessary, but she suggested that MR. DAVID BARROW, the nearest property owner, be contacted to see how he feels about it. The Mayor said the Council would see how KLRN comes out on its clearances with F.A.A. and others, then it would be happy to hear them, when they were ready to bring their request in.

MAYOR PALMER read the following letter from the Board of Equalization:

"August 25, 1966

"The City Council
City of Austin
Municipal Building
Austin, Texas

"Dear Council Members:

"Complying with provisions of the City Charter, we, your Board of Equalization for the year 1966, herewith submit our report of activities.

"The Board of Equalization convened August 1, 1966, and began hearing appeals that date. All property owners who filed an appeal requesting a hearing and appeared at the designated time were heard. There were conducted 116 separate hearings representing 286 individual properties. In addition to these, there were 17 appeals scheduled for which the property owners either cancelled the appeal or did not appear, and there were 20 properties that were appealed by letter. There were also two properties that were considered by the Board which were the result of changes in adjoining property values. A total of 329 dockets were prepared 326 properties were duly presented and considered by the Board of Equalization for the year 1966. Of this total, 90 property values were adjusted and 236 were sustained as established by the Tax Department.

"The Board was in session a total of 19 work days and spent a total of 114 hours in performance of our sworn duty.

"The Board feels that the decreasing number of appeals in the last two years, both years of great growth in the City of Austin, is directly attributable to

to the accurate and equitable work done by Mr. Jack Klitgaard and the people in his department. They are to be commended for their fairness and efficiency.

"Having completed its work, the Board of Equalization stands adjourned this day, August 25, 1966, subject to the call of the City Council to render any assistance the Council may desire. We hereby certify our approval of the 1966 Tax Roll for the City of Austin and the Austin Independent School District.

"Respectfully submitted,

s/ John R. Kingsbery
John R. Kingsbery, Secretary

s/ Wilford Turner
Wilford Turner, Chairman

s/ W. L. Moore
W. L. Moore"

The report was filed with the City Clerk.

Brief discussion was held on setting tax appeals before October 1st.

The City Manager stated the Assistant City Attorney had a revised statement of position of the City regarding the Water Plan as proposed by the Water Development Board, and copies were sent to the Council. Councilman Long stated the new document was nicely drawn and was a policy she would go along with. She expressed appreciation of the revision and the manner in which it was to be presented. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be authorized to file formally this statement as Austin's position, prior to September 1st. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, after an engineering and traffic investigation, the City Council has found that the circumstances are such that the maximum, reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles at the following locations is less than thirty (30) miles per hour on school days during the hours of 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. when pedestrian are present; and,

WHEREAS, after said investigation the City Council has found that the maximum, reasonable and safe speed for the operation of vehicles is twenty (20) miles per hour on such days and during such hours at the following locations:

ON STREET

FROM

TO

Vargas Road
Estrada Street

Felix Avenue
Trasher Lane

Lynch Avenue
Vargas Road

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and instructed to record this finding in Section 33.39 of the Traffic Register.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

Councilman Long offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Austin has found and does hereby find that the public necessity requires the acquisition and maintenance of a large recreational reserve to permit the creation of parks, playfields, camp grounds, golf courses, piers, wharves, together with the construction of a large water reservoir, and also to permit an addition to the electric light and generating system of the City of Austin, and the construction of certain roads and public ways; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that the public necessity requires the acquisition of the fee simple to the hereinafter described tract of land for such purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of such land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner, and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED
EXHIBIT "A"

A part and portion of that certain 304.25 acre tract of land out of the Phillip McElroy League Survey #18, Travis County, Texas, described in Warranty Deed of Clyde E. Boyd, et al, to Southland Homes of Houston, Inc. dated June 8, 1959 and recorded in Vol. 2052, Page 151, Deed Records of Travis County, Texas; the land herein conveyed being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

Beginning at a point in the East line of Decker Lane at an iron stake being the Southwest corner of the above mentioned 304.25 acre tract, Thence N 30° 54' E 340.02 feet along the East line of Decker Lane; Thence S 58° 33' E. 1,879.39 feet to the Place of Beginning of the tract herein conveyed.

Thence S 58° 33' E. 537.29 feet;

Thence S 51° 09' E. 652.19 feet;

Thence S 51° 18' W. 146.05 feet;
 Thence S 32° 05' W. 60.37 feet;
 Thence S 22° 28' W. 790.34 feet;
 Thence S 31° 38' W. 280.66 feet for corner in the South
 boundary line of the above mentioned 304.25 acre tract;
 Thence S 59° 16' E. 512.16 feet along the South boundary
 line of said tract;
 Thence S 24° 56' E. 280.51 feet;
 Thence S 35° 26' E. 71.71 feet;
 Thence S 48° 10' E. 45.63 feet;
 Thence S 59° 13' E. 355.37 feet for corner, the same
 being the South most corner of the above mentioned
 304.25 acre tract;
 Thence N 30° 37' E. 1,346.36 feet;
 Thence S 59° 52' E. 65.47 feet;
 Thence N 30° 34' E. 927.63 feet;
 Thence N 27° 37' E. 312.83 feet;
 Thence N 37° 49' W. 771.55 feet;
 Thence N 7° 04' E. 51.24 feet;
 Thence N 30° 19' E. 1,496.58 feet for corner, the same
 being the Eastern most corner of the above mentioned
 304.25 acre tract;
 Thence N 59° 53' W. 1,384.27 feet;
 Thence S 30° 58' W. 905.36 feet;
 Thence N 60° 12' W. 349.93 feet;
 Thence S 30° 54' W. 1,914.98 feet; to the Place of Beginning.

(James L. Vickery)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

Councilman White moved that the PAN-AMERICAN ATHLETIC CLUB be granted permission to use the Coliseum, Sunday, September 4, for sponsoring their annual Pre-Labor Day Dance. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Absent: Councilman LaRue

MAYOR PALMER announced there would be no garbage pick-up on Labor Day.

The City Manager reported that the following zoning applications had been referred to the Planning Commission and set for public hearing before the City Council on October 6, 1966:

JOHN ORSAK	703-705 West Powell Lane	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
V. R. ARNOLD	2600-2608 West 7th Street 700-708 Norwalk Lane	From "C" Commercial To "C-1" Commercial

ALFRED TRAMP	1400-1404 Oltorf Street	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
FRED D. MANN	1203 Juniper Street 1156 Navasota Street	From "A" Residence 2nd Height & Area To "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area
SAMUEL J. PERRY	4713-4719 F.M. Highway 969	From Interim "A" Resi- dence 1st Height & Area To "LR" Local Retail 1st Height & Area
URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY, et al, By Johnson Jones & Sheppard	1150-1162 Chicon Street 1800-1818 Rosewood Avenue 1151-1163 Salina Street	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area and "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area To "B" Residence 2nd Height & Area
BRADFIELD-CUMMINS, INC.	Rear of 7101-7111 Mesa Drive	From Interim "A" Resi- dence 1st Height & Area To "GR" General Retail 1st Height & Area
JOE CHARLES JUNG	Rear of 1406-1410 South 1st Street 604-606 Jewell Street	From "C" Commercial 2nd Height & Area To "C-1" Commercial 2nd Height & Area
DR. CHARLES D. PEAVY	Tract 1 3701-3707 Manchaca Road	From "A" Residence To "O" Office
	Tract 2 Rear of 3701-3723 Manchaca Road 3611 Fleetwood Drive	From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
C. E. DURHAM & H. C. PATTILLO By Jim Woodmansee	2301-2309 Hancock Drive 5014 Shoalwood Avenue 5009-5011 Woodview	From "A" Residence 1st Height & Area To "GR" General Retail 2nd Height & Area
SAFEWAY STORES, INC. By Johnson, Jones & Sheppard	Rear of 2023-2029 West Ben White Boulevard	From "GR" General Retail To "C-1" Commercial
AUSTIN BRETHERN CHURCH	2117 Northland Drive	From "A" Residence To "LR" Local Retail

August 31, 1966

There being no further business Councilman White moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Absent: Councilman LaRue

The Council adjourned at 12:25 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

Leah Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk